Appendix 1



Scrutiny Report of Cardiff's Environmental Scrutiny Committee

Restore Our Rivers

January 2017



City and County of Cardiff Council

CONTENTS

Contents – Page 2

Chair's Foreword – Pages 3 to 4

Inquiry Methodology – Page 5

Inquiry Terms of Reference – Page 6

Summary Key Findings & Recommendations

- Measurement & Benchmarking Pages 7 to 23
- Prevention Opportunities Work Package Pages 24 to 49
- Educational Opportunities Work Package Pages 50 to 57
- Improvement Opportunities Work Package Pages 58 to 71
- Regional Opportunities Work Package Pages 72 to 76

Witnesses to the Inquiry – Page 77

Legal Implications – Page 78

Financial Implications Page 79

Appendices

- Appendix 1 Cardiff Scrutiny Research Team Report 'River Pollution in Cardiff: Background on the Ely, Rhymney and Taff'.
- Appendix 2 Cardiff Scrutiny Research Team Report 'Restore Our Rivers: Best Practice in Managing Ecological Issues'.

CHAIR'S FOREWORD

About a year ago I was contacted by a number of local anglers who were concerned about the state of the River Ely. They told me that parts of the river were effectively dead and that fish stocks were steadily declining. They were not sure why this was happening, but indicated that general pollution was probably a contributing factor. A little surprised and worried at the thought that my local river was dying I decided to look into the matter.

The work started with an item titled 'River Pollution in Cardiff' that was hosted by Cardiff's Environmental Scrutiny Committee. This took place on the 15th March 2016 and considered the problems facing local rivers and how these could be addressed. To shape the discussion we invited our partners at Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales, South East Wales Rivers Trust, Cardiff Rivers Group and Glamorgan Anglers. During the meeting it quickly became apparent that the pressures of modern life were having a negative impact on our local rivers; in particular the River Ely was struggling having recently been categorised as 'poor' and 'Bad' in parts in a recent Natural Resources Wales assessment. It also became clear that there were lots of individuals and organisations working hard to improve the quality of our rivers and that the best way forward was to establish some kind of working partnership. The Restore Our Rivers inquiry was born.

Fast forward to August 2016 and a task & finish group was established to considered a range of options and initiatives for improving the quality of rivers and water courses in Cardiff and the wider South East Wales River Basin. To deliver this piece of work we co-opted partners from Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales, Keep Wales Tidy, South East Wales Rivers Trust, Cardiff Rivers Group, Glamorgan Anglers and Groundwork Wales. The group met on a regular basis between August and December to discuss a wide range of river issues including pollution prevention, sustainable drainage, environmental improvements, delivering a united communications message, working with volunteer groups and building a regional or catchment based approach. At the end of the inquiry we summarised our work and created the Restore Our Rivers report. The report makes 20 recommendations and

3

is supported by 140 key findings. All of the recommendations are designed to drive river quality improvements across the South East Wales River Basin. To my mind the single most important theme coming out of the report and recommendations is partnership working. By working together as a partnership we will achieve more; this inquiry clearly illustrates the point. On this note, I would like to thank all of the partners for their hard work during the last six months – you have added knowledge, experience and enthusiasm to the task. You have all been a pleasure to work with. I would also like to thank the witnesses who have provided evidence to the inquiry; your valuable contributions have added a wider perspective to the task and have shaped our key findings and recommendations.

As this inquiry draws to an end my hope is that the recommendations are collectively delivered through the partnership. In particular the creation of a regional working group is essential for implementing the other recommendations and dealing with any future problems in our rivers. By working together we can restore our rivers.



Councillor Paul Mitchell Chairperson – Environmental Scrutiny Committee

INQUIRY METHODOLOGY

Cardiff's Environmental Scrutiny Committee along with its co-opted partners (Dwr Cymru; Natural Resources Wales; Keep Wales Tidy; South East Wales Rivers Trust; Cardiff Rivers Group; Glamorgan Anglers and Groundwork Wales) considered a range of river quality issues and options relating to improving the water quality in river and other watercourses in the South East Wales River Basin. In reviewing the various options the group drew upon a number of witness contributions and information sources including:

- Witness contributions from Dwr Cymru;
- Witness contributions from Natural Resources Wales;
- Witness contributions from Keep Wales Tidy;
- Witness contributions from the City of Cardiff Council;
- Witness contributions from Groundwork Wales;
- Witness contributions from the Cardiff Rivers Group;
- Witness contributions from Afonydd Cymru;
- Evidence gathered by Cardiff's Scrutiny Research Team and presented in the reports titled 'River Pollution in Cardiff: Background on the Ely, Rhymney and Taff' (Appendix 1) and 'Restore Our Rivers: Best Practice in Managing Ecological Issues' (Appendix 2).

From this body of evidence the Members drew key findings and the 20 recommendations made in this report. The Environmental Scrutiny Committee Task & Finish Exercise will report to the Environmental Scrutiny Committee on the 10th January 2017, and subject to approval of the draft report it will be commend to Cardiff Council's Cabinet and governing bodies of each of the co-opted partners for consideration and response.

INQUIRY TERMS OF REFERENCE

The collaborative task & finish working group will consider, evaluate and address the current problems facing Cardiff's rivers and watercourses. In doing so the exercise will develop a series of work packages which will review the following areas:

- Measurement and benchmarking of the current condition of Cardiff's rivers and watercourses;
- Prevention opportunities which can be applied to Cardiff's rivers and watercourses;
- Educational opportunities which can be applied to Cardiff's rivers and watercourses;
- Improvement opportunities which can be applied to Cardiff's rivers and watercourses;
- Regional opportunities which can be applied to the rivers and courses of the wider South East Wales River Basin.

In doing this the task & finish working group will explore best practice, receive witness contributions and access research resources from a wide range of sources. The task & finish exercise will address each of the five work package themes individually and a bespoke terms of reference will be created for each of the work packages.

The task & finish exercise will aim to identify a series of key findings and recommendations for each of the five work packages; these will be recorded in this report which will upon conclusion be submitted to the to the Welsh Government Cabinet Secretary for Environment and Rural Affairs; Cardiff Council's Cabinet Member for the Environment and the decision making body of each of the partner organisations who have provided representatives to support the collaborative task & finish working group. A copy of the report will be made available to other interested parties.

The collaborative task & finish working group will include Elected Members from Cardiff's Environmental Scrutiny Committee and co-opt appointed representatives from the Cardiff Rivers Group; Dwr Cymru; Glamorgan Anglers; Keep Wales Tidy; Natural Resources Wales and the South East Wales Rivers Trust.

6

MEASUREMENT & BENCHMARKING

At the start of the task & finish exercise the group identified measuring the condition of the three rivers and tributaries as a priority. Understanding the current conditions of the watercourses was seen as a vital starting point because it would help set the context of the overall exercise and act as a reference point against which future progress could be measured.

To deliver this work the group commissioned Cardiff Council's Scrutiny Research Team to write a paper titled 'River Pollution in Cardiff: Background on the Ely, Rhymney and Taff'; this document is attached to this report as **Appendix 1**. The report specifically comments on:

- General background profile information on the three rivers (the Ely, Rhymney and Taff);
- Background information on water quality assessment methodologies;
- Information on key water quality indicators and assessment results.

General information on the river's geographical profiles and characteristics which are presented in the report were collected from internet-based research and from existing Committee papers. The more detailed information on water quality indicators, assessment methods and ratings were provided by officers from Natural Resources Wales.

Background - Cardiff and the South East Wales River Basin

Most of Cardiff is located on a large flood plain which hosts the rivers Taff, Ely and Rhymney. The three rivers flow into the Bristol Channel and along with a series of supporting tributaries they provide drainage for a large section of South East Wales.

The rivers are recognised to have played a significant role in the economic, geographical and social development of Cardiff. They continue to provide an important role by supporting a healthy environment, enhancing habitat, providing leisure opportunities, generating energy, supporting the local economy, facilitating drainage and generally supporting well being.

River Quality Standards

River quality is measured by the standards set out in the Water Framework Directive; this is a European instruction which has been applied to United Kingdom law. **Appendix 1** describes the four main measures or tests contained within the Water Framework Directive and which are used to identify the condition of United Kingdom rivers and watercourses, these are:

- Ecological Status The ecological status of a river is determined by examining three key aspects. These are biological quality elements (the communities of fish, invertebrates, diatoms and macrophytes); general physico-chemical conditions (the levels of dissolved oxygen, ammonia, phosphate, pH, temperature); and the 19 national pollutants (tests for the presence of 19 polluting substances agreed by advisory group UKTAG).
- **Chemical Status** Water quality is monitored for its Chemical status, this involves testing for compliance to European Standards for 41 substances.
- Additional Tests Alien Species & Hydromorphological Conditions Tests for Alien species and assessements of hydromorphological conditions are undertaken to inform the overall assessement of river water quality conditions.
- Overall Status Classifications The Ecological status, Chemical status and additional tests are combined to give an overall status described as one of five status classes which are High, Good, Moderate, Poor or Bad.

To help support the report officers from Natural Resources Wales provided basic descriptions for each of the five overall status classifications, these were:

- **High:** Near natural conditions. No restriction on the beneficial uses of the water body. No impacts on amenity, wildlife or fisheries.
- **Good:** Slight change from natural conditions as a result of human activity. No restriction on the beneficial uses of the water body. No impact on amenity or fisheries. Protects all but the most sensitive wildlife.
- Moderate: Moderate change from natural conditions as a result of human activity. Some restriction on the beneficial uses of the water body. No impact on amenity. Some impact on wildlife and fisheries.

- Poor: Major change from natural conditions as a result of human activity. Some restrictions on the beneficial uses of the water body. Some impact on amenity. Moderate impact on wildlife and fisheries.
- **Bad:** Severe change from natural conditions as a result of human activity. Significant restriction on the beneficial uses of the water body. Major impact on amenity. Major impact on wildlife and fisheries with many species not present.

Common Reasons for Failing Elements

Appendix 1 identifies five main reasons for sections of failing elements of river systems according to the Water Framework Directive, these are:

- Sewage/Combined sewage overflow/Misconnections known collectively as 'urban diffuse' where the ecology can be affected by raw (but often diluted) sewage / waste water making its way into the river. This can lead to degraded habitats for the flora and fauna.
- Point Source Sewage Treatment Works is the final treated discharge from Sewage Treatment Works. It all enters the river via one pipe at one location.
- Siltation is a form of pollution from deposits of silt or clay. It can be suspended sediments or the accumulation of sediment on the river bed.
- Mitigation measures where water bodies are modified for uses such as flood protection, public water supply, urbanisation to such an extent that Good Status is not achievable These need to attain Good Ecological 'Potential' (as opposed to status) which means we will need to put in place measures that maximise the ecology given the modified nature of the water body
- Barriers to fish migration in the form of weirs, culverts or sewer pipes. Man-made weirs, culverts or pipes as a result of urbanisation can present barriers to fish migration that prevent fish from migrating upstream to their spawning grounds.

The Three Rivers – A Summary

This section of the report provides a summary of each of the three rivers and their tributaries.

• The River Ely

The River Ely starts from Tonyrefail and runs for a distance of 24 miles to the Bristol Channel at Cardiff. The river flows past the settlements of Tonyrefail, Llantrisant, Pontyclun, Peterston Super-Ely, Ely, Cardiff and Penarth, and has three major tributaries which flow into the river, these are Nant Mychydd; Afon Clun and Nant Dowlais.

The river Ely and its tributaries is broken up into five sections these are shown in **Table 1** and **Diagram 1**. Recent analysis of the five sections of the river Ely identified that one section was categorised as 'Good'; two sections were categorised as 'Moderate 1'; one section was categorised as 'Poor' and one section was categorised as 'Bad'.

The information from **Table 1** explains that the River Ely has altered due to industrialisation and urbanisation with the introduction of man-made structures such as weirs; these structures create barriers to fish migration to their spawning grounds leading to depleted fish stocks. Natural Resources Wales is implementing a five-year project to address this issue.

Appendix 1 also explains that the water quality in the river has been put under pressure by sewage and other contaminations that affect the ecology and fish populations. It cites acute pollution incidents in 2010 and 2013 that have impacted the Clun water body and downstream on the Ely. Furthermore, it states that the Nant Dowlais (source to confluence with Ely River) water body also suffers from diffuse rural pollution, notably around siltation.

The report identifies several areas of the river that are borderline failures for phosphates. Too much phosphate can lead to accelerated algae and plant growth that can affect oxygen levels and disrupt the balance of the ecosystem. Dwr Cymru is looking at the potential impact from their assets on levels of phosphate, under an AMP6 funded catchment wide investigation.

The results in **Table 1** show that the main body of the River Ely from its confluence with the Clun to its mouth has an overall status that is classified as "Bad". This condition (status) is reflected in the population numbers for salmon, trout, chub, eel and invertebrates affected. Other failing elements are raised levels of Benzo(a)pyrene, Fluoranthene and Tributyltin (TBT). The reasons for the failing status on this part of the river are due to previous pollution incidents from unknown sources and other unknown sources.

Most of the tributaries upsteam of the river have significantly better "overall status" ranging from "Poor" to mostly "Moderate" and "Good". The overall status of the "Nant Clun section up to its confluence with the River Ely", has been classified as "Poor". The failing elements of assessments are fish and invertebrate populations. The failure in conditions on this part of the river is mainly attributed to previous pollution incidents from unknown sources, misconnections and sewage pollution.

The overall status of the "Nant Dowlais source to its confluence with Ely River" and the "Ely River source to its confluence with Nant Clun" sections have both been classified as 'Moderate'. The reduction of salmon and trout populations in these areas of the river are key factors that has led to this classification. For the "Nant Dowlais source to its confluence with Ely River", the main reason is due to "Diffuse Agri (siltation)", The "Ely River source to its confluence with Nant Clun" has barriers to fish migration, and increased levels of nutrients from STWs, sewage and misconnections.

Only the section starting from "Nant Mychydd source to its confluence with the River Ely" has a 'Good' overall status.





High	
Good	
Moderate	
Poor	
Bad	

- 5 sections: 1 'Good' 2 'Moderate 1 'Poor' 1 'Bad'.
- Urbanisation and Industrialisation has led to man-made barriers that block fish migration.
- The 'Poor' and 'Bad' sections fail on fish and invertebrate populations.
- Misconnections and pollution contributing factors.

Table 1 - River Ely Framework Directive Status 2015 Cycle 2.

Waterbody Name	Overall Status	Failing elements	Fish supplies driving failure	Reason For Failure
Nant Dowlais - source to conf Ely			Salmon,	
R	Moderate	Fish	Trout	Diffuse Agri (siltation),
Nant Clun - source to conf Ely R	Poor	Fish, Invertebrates	Salmon, Trout	Misconnections, Sewage pollution, previous polln unknown source
Nant Mychydd - source to conf Ely R	Good	None	N/A	N/A
Ely R - source to conf Nant Clun	Moderate	Fish. Diatoms	Salmon, Trout	Barriers, STW/sewage/misconne ctions
Ely R - conf Nant Clun to Allot Gardens, Ely	Bad	Benzo(a)pyrene, Fish, Fluoranthene, Invertebrates, TBT	Salmon, Trout, Chub, Eel.	Previous pollution unknown source, others unknown.

• The River Rhymney

The River Rhymney rises at Odyn Fach within the Brecon Beacons National Park and runs for a distance of 35 miles to the Bristol Channel at Cardiff. The Rhymney has several small tributaries which flow into the river, and are each measured as sections and given a classification as part of the Water Framework Directive. The river Rhymney flows past the settlements of New Tredegar, Bargoed, Ystrad Mynach, Llanbradach, Caerphilly, Bedwas, Trethomas, Machen, Draethen and finally Llanrumney and Rumney in Cardiff.

The River Rhymney and its tributaries is broken up into ten sections these are shown in **Table 2** and **Diagram 2**. Recent analysis of the ten sections of the River Rhymney identified that three sections were categorised as 'Good'; six sections were categorised as 'Moderate' and one section was categorised as 'Poor'.

The sections of the river that have been given 'Moderate' as its "overall status" have varied reasons for its failing elements. In four of these waterbodies (as shown in **Table 2**) specifically the, "Nant Glandulas from its source to confluence with the River Rhymney" section, the "Roath Brook", "Broadway Reen from its source to the River Severn Estuary", the "Rhosog Fach Reen from its source to Seven Estuary" the existing conditions at the time of reporting required mitigation measures because they are Heavily Modified Water Bodies for flood protection, urbanisation and land drainage uses. It is also recognised that they are Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) so any mitigation measures implemented for WFD must not impact negatively upon the SSSI features.

In three sections of the river there are issues around depleted fish stocks: specifically for trout and eel at the "Nant Glandulas source to its confluence Rymney River" section; and for salmon and bullhead populations at the "Nant Cylla source to confluence with Rhymney River" section. These sections both have a status of "Moderate" as a result. The section from "Nant Bargoed Rhymni's source to its confluence with the River Rhymney" has an overall status of "Poor" with fish populations (specifically salmon, trout and bullheads) as its failing element and mainly due to barriers in the watercourse.

The report specifically cites that there are weirs in some sections of the River Rhymney that create barriers between fish and their spawning grounds and that these fish populations are further susceptible to and can be affected by sewage, combined sewage overflows, misconnections and industrial estates.

It is recognised that further urban development may compound these issues; however, Natural Resource Wales reports that they are working with partners to address these concerns.





Table 2 - River Rhymney Framework Directive Status 2015 Cycle 2

Waterbody	Overall		Fish supply	
Name	Status	Failing elements	driving failure	Reasons for Failure
Rhosog Fach				
Reen - source		Macrophyte,		Suspected Diffuse Agri, Mitigation
to Seven		Mitigation		Measures for Land Drainage & Wider
Estuary	Moderate	measures		Environment (SSSI)
Broadway		Ammonia, DO, Mitigation		Mitigation Magguron for Land Drainage
to R Severn		measures		& Wider Environment (SSSI) Trunk
Estuary	Moderate	Phosphate		Sewer issues suspected diffuse agri
Deeth Preek	Mederate	Inverts, Macrophyte, Mitigation measures, Phosphate, Distance		Mitigation Measures (Water Resources, Urban, Wider Environment – SSSI reservoir), Misconnections / sewage
Nont Clandulas	Moderale	Diatoms		pressure
		Fish Hydrology		Barriers (and should also be failing for
Rhymney R	Moderate	Diatoms	Trout, Eel	Mitigation Measures)
Nant y Aber -				
source to conf				
Rhymney R	Good	None	N/A	N/A
Nant Cylla -				
Source to cont	Modorato	Fish Phosphoto	Salmon, Bullboad	barriars and sowage / misconnections
Rhymney R -	MODELALE		Duillieau	barriers and sewage / misconnections.
Nant Bargod				
Rhymni to conf				Despite good classification has
Nant Cylla	Good	None	N/A	Minewaters pressure
Rhymney R - conf Nant Cylla to Chapel	Moderate	Benzo(a)pyrene, Benzo (a) and (k) fluoranthene, Benzo (ghi) perelyene and indeno (123-cd) pyrene,	N/A	Linknown courses of combustion
Nont Bargod		riuoranimene	IN/A	
Rhymni -				
source to conf			Salmon, Trout	
Rhymney R	Poor	Fish	Bullhead	barriers
Rhymney R - source to conf Nant Bargod Rhymni	Good	None	N/A	N/A
i si iyi i ii	0000	1.0110	1 1// 1	1.1/1

• The River Taff

The River Taff rises as two rivers in the Brecon Beacons National Park and runs for a distance of 40 miles to the Bristol Channel at Cardiff. The River Taff is formed from the Taf Fechan (Little Taff) and the Taf Fawr (Big Taff); the two rivers merge just north of Merthyr Tydfil. The Taff has seven major tributaries which flow into the river, including Nant Ffrwd; Nant Morlais; Nant Rhydycar; Taff Bargoed; Cynon; Nant Clydach and Rhondda. The river Taff flows past the settlements of Merthyr Tydfil, Treharris, Pontypridd and Cardiff.

The River Taff and its tributaries is broken up into fifteen sections these are shown in **Table 3** and **Diagram 3**. Recent analysis of the fifteen sections of the River Taff identified that three sections were categorised as 'Good'; seven sections were categorised as 'Moderate' and five section was categorised as 'Poor'.

There are seven sections (water bodies) that have been given a 'Moderate' overall status. There are a variety of factors that have been identified as the "failing elements" for these water bodies with most of these around fish populations and mitigation measures.

The Natural Resources Wales report further explains that some of the issues or challenges in many of these water bodies, are associated with or are a result of the Taff having been modified for flood protection, public water supply, urbanisation. Natural Resources Wales recommends that modifications need to be made to offset these changes and achieve a good ecology and it will be working on this as part of its 2015-2020 programme.

Table 3 shows that there are five sections (water bodies) that have been given a classification of (overall status) 'Poor' and have fish levels identified as the "failing elements" with issues around the populations of salmon and trout. A variety of reasons for these "failing elements" are cited in the Table below, including "barriers" in 5 of the sections/ water bodies. Additionally, sewage and misconnections were also cited as a reason for the sections at the "Cynon confluence to Aman River to confluence with River Taff"; the "Afon Rhondda Fach source to confluence with Aman River" water bodies.

18

Table 3 further identifies a range of other failing elements at various points of the river to include: macrophyte levels, high presence of chemicals such as phosphates in some sections/waterbodies of the river as can be shown seen in the Table above.

The Natural Resources Wales report also cites various "reasons for failure" or issues such as barriers, sewage, misconnections and unknown sources of pollution that have a detrimental effect to the overall status of the river. The report further explains that these sources of pollution are plentiful and therefore difficult to target but lead to increased levels of chemicals such as Fluoranthene or Benzopyrene. It is suggested in the Water Framework Directive that reducing emissions or intercepting diffuse sources could help with this by using sustainable draining systems.

The Natural Resources Wales report also states that water quality in the river is affected by sewage, combined sewer overflows, misconnections and industrial estates. These can affect the ecology of the river and have a negative effect on fish populations. In the case of the Taff, these factors have affected bullhead and especially salmon and trout populations. Natural Resources Wales also recognises that increased urban development along the river escalates these issues and they are working with partners (Dwr Cymru, South East Wales Rivers Trust and Cardiff Council) to try and address them.

Diagram 3 - River Taff Framework Directive Status 2015 Cycle 2



Table 3 – River Taff Framework Directive Status 2015 Cycle 2

Waterbody			Fish supply	
Name	OverallStatus	Failing elements	driving failure	Reason for Failure
Aman R -				
source to				
conf Afon				
Cynon	Good	None	N/A	N/A
Cynon -				
conf Aman				
R to conf R		 .		Barriers, sewage/misconnections,
Taff	Poor	Fish	Salmon, Trout	Industrial estates.
Rhondda				Although passing has barriers, sewage
Fawr	Good	None	N/A	/misconnections pressures
Afon				
Rhondda				
Fach -				Barriers, Water Resources,
source to		Fish, Hydrology,		sewage/misconnections pressure,
conf		Mitigation	Salmon, Trout,	Mitigation Measures for Water
Rhondda R	Poor	measures	Bullhead	Resources & urban
Whitchurch				
Bk - source		Fish, Mitigation		
to conf R		measures,		Barriers, Mitigation Measures for urban
	Moderate	Phosphate	Salmon, Trout	& sewage/misconnections.
Rhondda R				
- cont Aton				
Rhondda				
Fach to conf	Quad		N1/A	
R Tall	Good	Hydrology	N/A	Although passing, hydrology pressures.
Tarr - conr R				
Cynon io				
Dhonddo P	Poor	Fich	Salmon Trout	Barriar on minor trib
Nont		F1511		
Clydach				
source to				
conf R Taff	Poor	Fish	Trout	Barriers
		Renzo(a)nvrene	Tiout	
		Benzo (a) and (k)		
Taff - conf		fluoranthene		
Rhondda R		Fluoranthene		Inknown sources of combustion and
to Castle		Mitigation		Mitigation Measures flood protection /
Street	Moderate	measures	N/A	urban
Taff - conf	Modelate			
Taf Fechan				
to conf R		Mitigation		
Cynon	Moderate	measures	N/A	Barriers Mitigation Measures urban
Afon Cynon				
- source to				
conf Aman				Barriers, sewage/misconnections water
R	Poor	Fish	Salmon, Trout	resources.
Taff		Fish. Mitigation	Salmon	
Bargoed	Moderate	measures	Bullhead	Barriers, Mitigation Measures Flood

		Inverts,		
Nant Morlais		Macrophyte,		
- source to		Mitigation		sewage/misconnections / Culverts,
conf R Taff	Moderate	measures	N/A	Mitigation Measures urban,
Taf Fechan				
- source to				
conf Afon		Mitigation		Mitigation Measures Water Resources,
Taf Fawr	Moderate	measures	N/A	barriers pressure
Afon Taf				
Fawr -				
source to		Fish, Inverts,		
conf Taf		Mitigation		Mitigation Measures Water Resources,
Fechan	Moderate	measures	Salmon, Trout	barriers

KEY FINDINGS & RECCOMENDATIONS

MEASUREMENT & BENCHMARKING

As previously stated the Scrutiny Research Team was commissioned to write a report titled 'River Pollution in Cardiff: Background on the Ely, Rhymney and Taff' (attached as **Appendix 1**). The three main aims of the report were to provide:

- General background profile information on the three rivers;
- Background information on water quality assessment methodologies;
- Information on key water quality indicators and assessment results.

A summary of this report was provided at a meeting on the 7th December 2016.

Recommendation 1

Cardiff Council's Scrutiny Research Team has created a report to support this task & finish exercise titled 'River Pollution in Cardiff: Background on the Ely, Rhymney and Taff'. This report documents the current condition of the three rivers (particularly the Ely) and highlights the issues that they currently face. The task group recommends that this report is used as a starting point against which the achievements of the Restore Our Rivers task & finish exercise can be measured. A copy of 'River Pollution in Cardiff: Background on the Ely, Rhymney and Taff' has been attached to this report as **Appendix 1**.

KEY FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

PREVENTION OPPORTUNITIES

Two meetings were held to consider issues relating to pollution prevention; these were held on Monday 12th September and Thursday 15th September 2016. Evidence was provided by witnesses from Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales, Keep Wales Tidy and Cardiff Council. The following topics were addressed in this section:

- Pollution Prevention;
- Misconnections & Sewer Abuse;
- River Survey & Clean;
- Pollution Identification, Investigation & Enforcement;
- Farm Pollution;
- Diffuse Pollution Prevention.

During these items the group identified a number of key findings and recommendations which can be seen below.

Key Findings & Recommendations

 Key Finding 1 – Dwr Cymru has made progress in recent years in identifying and dealing with misconnections, sewer abuse and other pollution sources. To continue to drive improvements in this area Dwr Cymru is looking to raise the profile of self-reporting on misconnections, sewer abuse and other pollution incidents through a campaign called 'See it – Report it – Stop it'. They believe that improved communication through a partnership network approach is the best way to raise the profile of selfreporting and other important issues which impact on the rivers and watercourses in the South East Wales River Basin.

- Key Finding 2 Dwr Cymru identified a number of campaigns which they felt could be promoted through a partnership network approach. Suitable issues / campaigns which could be promoted included:
 - 'See it Report it Stop it';
 - Stop the Block;
 - Natural Resources Wales;
 - Restore Our Rivers Ely River Clean.
- **Key Finding 3** During the meeting Dwr Cymru made the point that they have a vast water and sewage network across Wales and that keeping on top of its maintenance is a major challenge. Getting the public to help spot problems (i.e. self reporting) will be a significant step forward in terms of improving the network.
- Key Finding 4 Natural Resources Wales is the public face of the National Misconnections Strategy Group. It's a partnership of organisations who are working to reduce water pollution from drains and sewers. Dwr Cymru and Natural Resources Wales are involved with the National Misconnections Strategy Group. The group undertakes a number of functions including:
 - Sharing best practice and raising awareness and understanding of misconnections, sewers and drains and the environmental problems that they cause;
 - Helping property owners and professionals to check drainage connections and take action;
 - Ensuring new drainage is connected right;
 - Helping to develop and support effective practice, policy and regulation;
 - Sharing information and evidence about the problem and supporting research and development of long-term solutions.
- **Key Finding 5** The importance of engaging with angling groups to raise the profile of campaigns like 'See it Report it Stop it' was stressed.

Angling and other groups who frequently access local rivers and watercourses were viewed as an essential source in terms of identifying river pollution issues.

- Key Finding 6 Dwr Cymru has recently run campaigns which are aimed at national white goods companies to raise the profile of misconnecting items like dish washers and tumble dryers. Commonly used white goods such as dish washers and tumble dryers are often incorrectly connected to the drainage system (sometimes by mistake and sometimes by design) and, therefore, become a pollution issue. Dwr Cymru has also run a misconnections campaign with the support of Jewson the builders merchant. The impact of these campaigns has yet to be assessed.
- Key Finding 7 It was suggested that circulating information about misconnections to angling clubs around Wales would be a really positive step forward. They would be one of the best stakeholders for identifying misconnections.

Recommendation 2

The partners involved with the task & finish exercise and other public bodies need to work closely with Dwr Cymru to raise the profile of pollution issues by supporting the following campaigns:

- 'See it Report it Stop it' a campaign aimed at raising the profile of self-reporting on misconnections, sewer abuse and other pollution incidents;
- 'Let's Stop the Block' a campaign which raises the profile of what can and can't be flushed down the toilet;
- 'ConnectRight' a campaign which raises the profile of ensuring that properties are properly connected to the drainage system;
- 'Restore Our Rivers River Ely Survey & Clean' a survey and clean of the River Ely which is to be led by Keep Wales Tidy and supported by the partners involved with the task & finish exercise.

It was felt that a partnership approach which integrated the voice of all the task & finish exercise partners and other public bodies would be the best way to project these messages to the largest possible audience. In particular, integrating the messages through the communications functions of all of the bodies was seen as essential; they would all be able to use existing systems to share the messages through formats like social media, email networks, internal publications, press briefings and websites.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 1 to 7.

- Key Finding 8 It was felt that establishing an effective communications network and gaining support for other tasks linked to the Restore Our Rivers task & finish exercise would require support political support from across the South East Wales River Basin. The group felt that Cardiff Council was best placed to develop these connections to support the group.
- Key Finding 9 A Dwr Cymru 'Pollution Champion' explained that addressing water pollution issues was best achieved through partnership working and closer collaboration with all of the relevant parties. He explained that the level of engagement with local authorities in the South East Wales River Basin was mixed and that improvements in this area would improve the necessary multi agency approach. Ultimately it was felt that all partners from within the South East Wales river basin should function as a single partnership.

Recommendation 3

Improving the water quality of the rivers in Cardiff involves taking a whole river catchment approach and not just addressing specific problems in Cardiff. As a consequence, the partners involved with the task & finish exercise need to work together to gain the support of all of the local authorities within the South East Wales River Basin, i.e. Cardiff, the Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff, Merthyr Tydfil and Caerphilly. Only by working across the whole South East Wales River Basin can real long term improvements be achieved. Working in this type of collaborative way would help build connections and enhance the multi-agency working approach.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 8 & 9.

 Key Finding 10 – Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales and the other parties involved with the task & finish exercise felt that developing a water pollution contact data base for the South East Wales River Basin was essential to improve partnership working and drive improvements in water quality in local rivers and watercourses. Key contact points to be added to such a data base should include representatives from Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales and all local authorities from within the South East Wales River Basin.

Recommendation 4

The partners involved with the task & finish exercise should work establish a common water pollution contact data base for the whole South East Wales River Basin. This it was felt should improve partnership working and ultimately drive water quality improvements in our rivers. The data base should include details of all key contacts from each of the partners involved with the task & finish exercise and all local authorities within the South East Wales River Basin. Each of the partners and other local authorities should provide a list of relevant staff along with a contact number and address.

This recommendation is supported by key finding 10.

- Key Finding 11 Natural Resources Wales, Dwr Cymru and Swansea City Council have recently delivered a misconnections and sewer abuse project called 'Loose Connections'. This aimed to identify and address misconnections which directed various pollutants into watercourses feeding into Swansea Bay which was identified as having the worst water quality in Wales. Due to the popularity of beach tourism in the area having poor water quality in Swansea Bay was viewed as an unacceptable position which Swansea Council decided to address as a priority. The problem was highlighted from three key areas of failure, these were:
 - Water Framework Directive;
 - Bathing water quality;
 - Shellfish water quality.

The problem contributed directly and indirectly to local health and wellbeing.

- Key Finding 12 Natural Resources Wales views water to be at the centre of the new Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act and that people were now starting to realise that there are significant economic costs associated with poor water quality, for example, health risks and loss of tourism.
- Key Finding 13 Misconnections are viewed as a continuous problem which requires ongoing funding to address. In broad terms Natural Resources Wales resources are reducing.
- Key Finding 14 Dwr Cymru now employs ten Pollution Technicians in Wales; a key part of their role is to work with Natural Resources Wales and local authorities to reduce pollution incidents. The Swansea based Dwr Cymru Pollution Technician played a very active role in supporting the Swansea Loose Connections Project.
- Key Finding 15 Swansea City Council allocated two full time members of staff to the 'Swansea Loose Connections Project' who worked closely with the Dwr Cymru Pollution Technicians and officers from Natural Resources

Wales. Swansea City Council staff were involved with visiting local properties to establish if they were properly connected to the correct drainage / sewer system. Results from the exercise estimated that 10% to 15% of properties were incorrectly plumbed into the wrong drain or sewer, for example, toilets were commonly plumbed into a surface water sewer instead of a foul water sewer. Once a misconnection was identified appropriate advice was provided regarding how to rectify the problem.

- Key Finding 16 The funding for the Swansea City Council dedicated team lasted for the period of the project, i.e. approximately six months. Funding for the project has now been removed.
- Key Finding 17 Natural Resources Wales stressed that managing misconnections on the Ely was carried out on a reactive basis when compared to the proactive approach taken in the Swansea Loose Connections Project.
- Key Finding 18 There was no particular trend in terms of property age or type for the misconnections identified during the Swansea Loose Connections Project, i.e. misconnections can arise from any type or age of property.
- Key Finding 19 Cardiff has an old Victorian combined sewer in the inner city so misconnections are less of a problem in this area, i.e. all water is (foul & surface) assumed dirty and processed accordingly. Misconnections are more of a problem in the outer areas with new housing stock as they operate dual sewer systems which, therefore, create the opportunity for misconnections.
- Key Finding 20 The 'Swansea Loose Connections Project' was deemed a great success as it increased water quality in the Swansea Bay area from 'Poor' to 'Good'; an improvement of two categories.
- **Key Finding 21** Quite often properties are misconnected to cut corners; sometimes properties are bought with existing misconnections; sometimes

the people creating the misconnection just do not know what they are doing.

- Key Finding 22 It was asked if any misconnection fines from the Swansea Loose Connections Project had been or could be used to cover the cost of delivering the misconnection work. The consensus was that funds from penalty charge notices were ring-fenced for particular tasks and, therefore, could not be used. In addition to this any revenue generated by penalty change notices resulting from this project would be very small; the aim of the project was to work with people to reduce misconnections and not raise funding via penalty charge notices.
- **Key Finding 23** Running the Swansea Loose Connections Project did have a specific resource implication for Swansea City Council; however, they saw it as a political priority and, therefore, were willing to fund the work. It is important to remember that at the start of the exercise Swansea Bay had the worst water quality in Wales, since the project it has jumped from 'poor' to 'good' (moving up two categories of improvement).

Recommendation 5

The Swansea Loose Connections Project is an excellent example of how partnership work can improve water quality. Surveying a river system to identify misconnections and then addressing the problems at source is a very effective way to achieve quick improvements in water quality. The partners involved with the task & finish exercise should in part look to copy this approach and conduct a river survey to identify any misconnection issues. Should any misconnections be identified then appropriate action should be taken to address the problem.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 11 to 23.

 Key Finding 24 – Dwr Cymru and Natural Resources Wales have historically held quarterly meetings with local authorities to discuss misconnections; although recently such meetings have become less successful and frequent. It was felt that increasing the frequency of such meetings in future would help improve the management of water quality issues.

Recommendation 6

Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales and the five local authority areas should reinstate the historic quarterly meetings to discuss the issue of misconnections. It was felt that this approach would improve partnership working and help identify problem misconnections.

This recommendation is supported by key finding 24.

- Key Finding 25 The group felt that raising Councillor awareness on the issues of misconnections, sewer abuse and water quality in rivers and other watercourses was very important. They felt that some type of presentation or training exercise to support this cause would be very worthwhile.
- Key Finding 26 The group felt that raising the profile of misconnections and sewer abuse with community councils and planning committees was very important as they are stakeholders who have a direct input into the planning process which has a large effect on sewer and drainage systems. In addition to this it was felt that the profile of misconnections should also be raised within the Building Control teams of local authorities to ensure that drainage plans are properly completed by developers.

Recommendation 7

Councillor awareness around the issue of misconnections, sewer abuse and

river water quality should be improved. The partners involved with the task & finish exercise should work together to create a short presentation or training package which could be made available to Councillors in the five local authorities of the South East Wales River Basin. Such a presentation or training package should be made available as part of the 'Member Induction' process which will be rolled out after the local government elections in May 2017. The presentation or training package should also be made available to community councils and planning committees. The profile of misconnections should also be raised within the Building Control teams of local authorities to ensure that drainage plans are properly completed by developers.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 25 & 26.

- Key Finding 27 Dwr Cymru and Natural Resources Wales felt that working closely with Environmental Health in all local authority areas was an effective way to target misconnections and sewer abuse. For example, they are able to establish if takeaways are connected to the correct drainage and sewer systems. They are then able to provide advice and potentially take action against those who breach any rules or requirements.
- Key Finding 28 If local authorities cannot afford to allocate designated officers for an identification of misconnections exercise then, as an alternative, they could look at the options of building tasks applied in a misconnections exercise into the everyday work of Environmental Health. For example, a sewer or drain connection check could be included in a food safety visit.
- Key Finding 29 An officer from Dwr Cymru advocated that all catering premises should have a 'fat trap box' fitted at a point prior to accessing the drainage / sewer system. At the moment advice only mandates the use of enzyme strips for catering premises (these are designed to only remove bacteria). It was felt that making the implementation of 'fat trap boxes' mandatory would reduce the likelihood of blockages in the sewer network as less fat would access drainage systems and, therefore, this would

reduce the number of pollution incidents which would help improve water quality in the natural water course.

 Key Finding 30 – During the meeting a Dwr Cymru officer explained that recycling fat from catering establishments is much easier if they have a fat funnel. The group felt that providing catering establishments free fat funnels would help increase the recycling of fats and oils, while at the same time contribute to water quality improvements.

Recommendation 8

Dwr Cymru and Natural Resources Wales felt that working closely with local authority environmental health services was a highly effective way of target and deal with misconnections and sewer abuse. The partners involved with the task & finish exercise agreed with this approach. As a consequence, they would like Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales and the environmental health teams of the five local authority areas to discuss the feasibility of:

- Carrying out a check to ensure that all food establishments have grease / fat traps and enzyme dosing systems in place and to carry out a check for general misconnections – they currently only check to see if used oil is collected and taken away;
- Establishing closer working links between Environmental Health Officers and Dwr Cymru Sewer Network Abuse Protection Technicians – in particular around fat oil and grease issues where the Dwr Cymru officers are able to provide valuable support;
- Where the need arises, Environmental Health Officers and Dwr Cymru Sewer Network Abuse Protection Technicians carry out joint inspections;
- Environmental Health Officers carrying Dwr Cymru 'Let's Stop the Block' literature so that they can provide copies to food establishments where they deem appropriate;
- When there is a change of premises use to a class A3 food establishment, the occupants are made aware of their responsibilities in terms of fat, oil and grease management;

• Issuing all food establishments with free fat funnels to help increase the recycling of fat, oil and grease.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 27 to 30.

- **Key Finding 31** It was noted that obtaining third party funding to carry out statutory work was almost impossible; getting monies to raise the profile of something was much easier.
- Key Finding 32 All members of the group supported running a river survey and clean exercise. They agreed to prioritise a survey and clean of the river Ely and its tributaries as it currently has the worst water quality and it because it is the shortest of the three rivers.
- Key Finding 33 A river survey and clean exercise would provide an opportunity for different organisations/agencies and the public to join forces to survey and clean up the entire river Ely catchment. It would be an example of partnership working which is currently being encouraged in Wales. Benefits of such a partnership approach would include:
 - Engaging relevant Local Authorities;
 - Making use of the different skills of partners, for example, Dwr Cymru information, Natural Resources Wales equipment;
 - Forging new partnerships and engaging with other organisations / interest groups, for example, boat clubs/canoeists for water-based assistance (crucial in the Cardiff area);
 - Encouraging public participation and gaining new volunteers and formation of new voluntary groups;
 - Gathering together litter champions;
 - Creating a positive news story and raising the profile of the importance of rivers.
- Key Finding 34 Keep Wales Tidy can deliver and has experience of delivering river survey and clean exercises. Key tasks for delivering such a project would include:

- Creating a task list and allocating activities;
- Coordinating activities;
- Coordinating a survey this would focus on identifying outfalls, hotspots and undertaking risk assessments;
- Contacting groups/user groups to ascertain interest;
- Collation of volunteers;
- Mapping activities (who where, areas of need);
- Purchasing equipment, for example, PPE and tools;
- Undertaking health and safety/risk assessment;
- Offering insurance KWT insurance covers activity if officers are present via a group insurance scheme;
- Organising a high profile event launch;
- Coordinating publicity and promotion e-mail, local press, posters, media, social media.
- **Key Finding 35** The main roles and responsibilities of local authorities in a river survey and clean could include:
 - Providing details of land ownership information;
 - Granting permissions for land access;
 - Provide staff to support such exercises (to cover a wide range of tasks);
 - Arranging the removal and disposal of waste;
 - Helping to promote the exercise;
 - Encouraging partners to take part in the exercise;
 - Identifying areas of need;
 - Support mapping and collation of other information;
 - Providing or facilitating specialist practical assistance (staff and equipment).
- **Key Finding 36** The main costs associated with running a river survey and clean are:
 - Staff time this includes undertaking surveys and risk assessments; coordination and mapping, running events, feedback and reporting;
providing Project Officers to support the task; the general provision of central administration and support.

- Provision of specific specialist tools, PPE and Safety equipment for example, waders, throwlines, buoyancy aids, grappling hooks, litter pickers, nets etc... The cost of equipment for the Great Taff Tidy was £4,188.
- **Key Finding 37** The main risks / threats associated with delivering a river survey and clean of this scale were identified as:
 - Weather this would need to be monitored prior to and on the day as high or fast water levels could potentially create unacceptable safety risks for the exercise;
 - Access access to private land, lack of engagement by landowners and inaccessible stretches of river could all compromise such an exercise;
 - Health & Safety ensuring the safety of everyone involved with the exercise would be a priority.
 - Lack of Participation a river clean on this scale would only work with public support – ensuring strong volunteer support would be essential.
 Identifying when volunteer support is available would be very important, for example, sometimes volunteer support is difficult to obtain during the working week, while it is often better on the weekends;
 - Too Much Participation Organising how and where volunteer resources are allocated – important not to allocate too many volunteers on the same stretch of river;
 - Insufficient level of commitment by local authorities particularly around the removal of rubbish;
 - Major Obstacles agreeing what to do with challenging obstacles in the river, for example, the removal of cars dumped in the river.
- **Key Finding 38** Funding would need to be secured before any firm timescales could be identified. From experience project planning would take approximately three months and that the best months for running such

a river clean are January, February and March. The river survey needs to take place at least one month before the start of the actual river clean.

- **Key Finding 39** KWT identified the main 'next steps' of delivering the river survey and clean as:
 - Gaining commitment from all parties to take the river survey and clean forward;
 - Identifying a funding source(s) to support such and exercise;
 - Identifying the timings for delivering the work;
 - Identifying and appointing a specified project manager;
 - Establishing target dates for delivering the work;
 - Agreeing an approach for overseeing (steering) the project, i.e.
 identifying a group to which the project manager would report.
- Key Finding 40 Dwr Cymru offered to provide details of all the combined sewer outflows (CSO's) on the river Ely and its tributaries for the mapping exercise that will take place during the river survey. Dwr Cymru also confirmed the importance of cutting back trees which can cause or support river blockages (although it was acknowledged by the group that some tree overhangs were important for supporting biodiversity). It was felt that this information could be well used if it was placed into an app / map that the public was able to access.
- Key Finding 41 It is vitally important to understand the costs involved with running a river survey and clean prior to submitting a bid for funding. It is also essential that a project plan for running the exercise is created.
- Key Finding 42 Natural Resources Wales is providing support to continue with the 'Clean the Clun' project which had stalled a few years ago due to a lack of funding. Elements of this exercise will tie in directly to the river Ely survey and clean which is being discussed by the 'Restore Our Rivers' task & finish exercise. Natural Resources Wales with support from SEWRT had identified a Welsh Government funding source (the Sustainable Management Fund) that they were looking to access, however, they were yet to write or submit a bid. This would probably start in the

coming months; however, accessing funding from this source could take a long time.

- **Key Finding 43** A number of funding options for the river Ely survey and clean were mentioned during the meeting, they included:
 - Accessing funds from the Tesco carrier bag charge fund Groundwork is responsible for managing bids for this funding. Tesco, for example, will pay out between £1,000 and £5,000 a month to support projects that meet their criteria.
 - Accessing a part of the £30m dividend which Dwr Cymru / Welsh Water has identified to reinvest back into Wales. They are currently running a consultation exercise which will identify how this funding will be allocated – there are six priority areas which have been consulted on, these are 'Money off customer water and sewerage bills'; 'Extra help for people who struggle to pay their bills'; 'Investing more in our pipes, pumps and water treatment works to continue providing highquality services'; 'Investing now to help save money in the future through renewable energy and innovation'; 'Supporting educational, recreational and environmental projects in our communities' and 'Speeding up improvements for people who experience repeat problems with their services'.
 - Dwr Cymru's Water Framework Directive (WFD) funding scheme can be used to provide financial contributions to not-for-profit organisations for projects that will deliver improvements to Welsh rivers, lakes and waterways. The aim is to create a more vibrant and healthy environment for people and wildlife.
- Key Finding 44 Dwr Cymru explained that submitting a bid into the Dwr Cymru £30 million fund could be looked into; however, the consultation exercise would ultimately shape the areas where the monies were allocated and the projects which were funded. The river Ely survey and clean would need to be considered alongside a long list of other projects applying for the funding. It was suggested that writing an article for the Dwr Cymru magazine would be a good starting point as it would raise the profile

of the exercise within the organisation. A recent beach clean project had submitted such an article and Dwr Cymru sent them a £250 cheque to support the work.

- Key Finding 45 The Chair of the task & finish exercise volunteered to write to each of the local authorities within the South East River Basin to gain support and raise the profile of the river Ely survey and clean along with the wider work of the 'Restore Our Rivers' task & finish exercise. Examples of local authority support should include litter removal and helping with communications.
- Key Finding 46 Groundwork suggested that the group should contact local housing associations to promote the river Ely survey and clean. They were very positive about supporting community projects; good at involving tenants and were often able to provide resources to support such work.
- Key Finding 47 Dwr Cymru is willing to support river clean exercises by allocating staff to walk along sections of rivers to identify any sewer and drainage misconnections. Once any sewer and drainage misconnections are identified then they will support investigations into these and work with partners to resolve issues based on environmental need. This approach helps to improve the water quality in our rivers.

Recommendation 9

The partners involved with the task & finish exercise agreed with the need to undertake a survey and clean of the River Ely. This exercise should be project managed by Keep Wales Tidy with support being provided by the partner groups associated with the task & finish exercise. Keep Wales Tidy should explore a range of funding options to support this work and engage with volunteer groups to deliver a survey and series of prioritised tasks. The exercise should involve a survey, litter picks, river blockage removals, habitat management work, invasive species management and educational initiatives. The river survey should take place in January / February 2017 and the results from this work should then be used to prioritise tasks for river clean events which should take place in spring 2017.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 31 to 47.

- **Key Finding 48** OFWAT claims that the principle that sewerage systems should accommodate future flows is unsustainable in the long term context of climate change. This statement suggests that alternative approaches to managing surface water need to be identified.
- Key Finding 49 Greener Grangetown is a partnership initiative between the City of Cardiff Council, Dwr Cymru and Natural Resources Wales to help make Grangetown a greener, cleaner place to live. It is an example of how surface water can be better managed through retrofitting and using a biological planting approach to process water and allow it to filter naturally into the nearby water course (the river Taff). The project covers an area of approximately 500 homes in the ward of Grangetown in Cardiff. CCC funded £50,000 for design and £750,000 for the development. Dwr Cymru funded £1 million for the development and £50,000 for the design. Natural Resources Wales provided £50,000 for the design phase.
- Key Finding 50 The aims of the Greener Grangetown project are to:
 - Achieve a more sustainable approach to water management;
 - Remove surface water from the sewer;
 - Demonstrate wider benefits;
 - Develop a toolkit for future projects;
 - Demonstrate the success of partnership working.
- **Key Findings 51** The project is designed to deliver multiple benefits, these include:
 - The removal of 42,000m2 of impermeable area (current phase);
 - Realising annual monetised benefits;
 - An increase in green space and additional tree planting;

- Improved air quality;
- Habitat creation and increase in biodiversity;
- Improved urban environment;
- The scheme is working in conjunction with the EU funded WISDOM project;
- The venture is a CEEQUAL assessed project.
- Key Finding 52 Grangetown had used an old Victorian brick system which dealt with both surface and foul water. The system would be cleaned out twice a day at high tide when the sewer would empty its contents into Cardiff Bay. In 1999 the Cardiff Bay Barrage was built and so the old Victorian brick system had to be connected to a sewer – foul and surface water then had to be pumped out of Cardiff to a Dwr Cymru wastewater treatment works outside Dinas Powys. Flows are pumped to the treatment works and this poses a significant cost for Dwr Cymru as energy is single largest cost for the company.
- Key Finding 53 The Greener Grangetown project aims to remove a significant amount the surface water falling on Grangetown and then by using biology (plants & trees) the water will be naturally cleaned and transferred directly into the river Taff. This will reduce the volume of water being pumped to Dinas Powys and, therefore, reduce the energy bill of Dwr Cymru. The plants and trees will in effect remove and break down the pollutants. The scheme will include seven very large Italian rain gardens / filter beds. The schemes will be highly visible and a feature. The whole scheme is designed to highway standards and will break down / remove any hydro carbons. The scheme meets Natural Resources Wales standards.
- Key Finding 54 The scheme is the first of its kind in Europe, i.e. it is specifically designed for Grangetown and isn't an off the shelf option. It could work well in other parts of the city where there are problems with pollution and rain run off – for example, the Roath Park area has a series of gulleys which are not great at removing pollution – such a scheme would

work well there. Long term it could be possible to 'retro fit' large parts of Cardiff in the same way – this would lead to water quality improvements.

- Key Finding 55 The City of Cardiff Council is looking to put information around biological / sustainable drainage systems into two Supplementary Planning Guidance documents that it is currently developing – this will be presented to developers in the future with a view to such techniques being implemented around new developments.
- Key Finding 56 Using vegetation in a well designed manner is far more effective than concrete drainage filter systems they clean themselves instead of having to be cleaned by the local authority. This is particularly relevant at a time when local authority budgets are shrinking. Developers are being encouraged to look into this approach. Some are very receptive to implementing such sustainable drainage systems as they are aware of the long term benefits that they can bring to their developments (and of course push house prices up); others are reluctant to embrace this sustainable drainage approach as they are concerned that it will take up valuable space. Some developers have described the approach as 'hippy technology'.
- Key Finding 57 Highways routes put more water into the sewer than any other source – it is important to work with Dwr Cymru to reduce the volume of water getting into our sewers. Using the natural / biological approach to managing diffuse water pollution is much cheaper than the concrete approach. Also once installed it doesn't need ongoing maintenance as it works naturally – very useful approach at a time of shrinking budgets.
- Key Finding 58 The methods being used in the Greener Grangetown project are a tried and tested scientific / engineered approach which has been in existence for hundreds of years. The science and technology are supported by the Welsh Local Government Association and the Wales SuDS (Sustainable Drainage Systems) board.
- Key Finding 59 A Master SuDS scheme using this technology to support green and blue corridors could work well. Using areas like Pontcanna fields

as a natural flood defence is an excellent approach – this is already being used as a part of a natural flood management scheme.

- Key Finding 60 It is very important to push / sell the sustainable drainage approach at the pre development phase. Developers need to think about quality of the developments and not just the density / profit from a development.
- **Key Finding 61** Ideally it is best to have open drains (for surface water) near developments so that problems can be easily spotted. Also it is cheaper to manage and fix as there isn't a cost to open it up. Water around developments should be viewed as an opportunity and not a threat. It has been proved that good water features add value to developments.
- **Key Finding 62** The water held on the surface during a flood is only the tip of the iceberg, the saturated land / soil beneath will hold vast quantities of water. Managing the unseen water is the key.
- Key Finding 63 In the greater part most residents have bought into the Greener Grangetown scheme. There have been some parking issues. There was a 25% consultation response rate and real people had made a real input into the design of the scheme.
- Key Finding 64 It was explained that the rainscape scheme in Llanelli (a similar type of scheme to Green Grangetown) had received some complaints after implementation; however, overall feedback had been positive.
- Key Finding 65 Greener Grangetown removes surface water in Cardiff Dwr Cymru has a wider aim to remove surface water for a town the size of Merthyr Tydfil from the sewer system. Dwr Cymru considered the scheme as a flood management scheme that would help reduce energy costs.
- **Key Finding 66** Council is producing two new Supplementary Planning Guidance documents which could be used to support this type of green

infrastructure. They are the Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Guidance (currently being consulted upon) and the Green Infrastructure Supplementary Planning Guidance (due to be written in 2017). Such documents could be used to formalise / mandate the use of sustainable drainage systems and approaches similar to those used by Greener Grangetown.

Recommendation 10

All the partners in the task & finish group agreed that promoting best practice in the uses of SUDS (sustainable urban drainage systems) as highlighted in the Welsh Government non-statutory guidance (December 2015), was the best way forward. Projects like Greener Grangetown provided clear evidence of the multi-benefits of using natural vegetation and the environment to better manage surface water. Consistent design standards and achievable maintenance schedules would give greater confidence for local authorities to promote more vegetation based SUDS systems within SPG documents. The group would recommend that all five authorities adopt a consistent approach to SUDS design and management.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 48 to 66.

 Key Finding 67 - Natural Resources Wales has the responsibility to investigate any pollution incidents – the main reporting source for theses is the public, although in recent years the number of publically reported incidents has reduced; it was suggested that the reason for this was that more people are now reporting pollution incidents directly to Dwr Cymru has recently started to raise the profile of self-reporting. Natural Resources Wales is also responsible for the routine monitoring of river water quality in Wales. The benefit of reporting incidents directly to Dwr Cymru is that they can respond to the incident faster, Natural Resources Wales are notified of all incidents reported to Dwr Cymru.

- **Key Finding 68** It was suggested that frequent river users (particularly anglers) were not always aware of who to contact to report a pollution incident. It was suggested that a one point of contact approach would help improve reporting. This could include a single freephone number that could record and redirect calls appropriately.
- Key Finding 69 It was explained that the routine monitoring undertaken by Natural Resources Wales is used for river quality classification. Natural Resources Wales is also responsible for ensuring ongoing compliance after an incident is identified, i.e. they monitor assets post discharge. A programme management approach for driving river quality improvements is separate to this and would need additional funding.
- **Key Finding 70** New technology has improved the accuracy and detail of reporting pollution issues, for example, smart phones can now be used to take photos of pollution incidents.
- Key Finding 71 It was felt that creating a wide list of river stakeholders (for example, canoeists, anglers and volunteers) would be very useful and that this list could be used to drive a communications strategy and build awareness of river pollution issues. Dwr Cymru Pollution Technicians could be available to support such a list and build further links across Wales.
- Key Finding 72 Enforcement has been tried to address pollution problems. This has mainly consisted in issuing advice, guidance and warning letters. When such incidents are reported it is very important to properly categorise the type of pollution. In terms of enforcement notices Natural Resources Wales tends to use 'anti pollution works notices' these can only be issued where there is a real risk of serious pollution. Compliance notices can be issued when problems are identified when these are issued the party has to comply with the process.

46

Key Finding 73 – A company based in Treforest was identified as a constant source of pollution for the Taff. Action was taken and they immediately offered an enforcement of undertaking – i.e. they offered money and to address the issue. Natural Resources Wales saw this as a good result. The money resulting from this 'enforcement of undertaking' was used to fund other environmental improvement projects.

Recommendation 11

The partners involved with the task & finish exercise agreed with the view that it was important to target frequent river users as a valuable source for reporting river pollution incidents. Anglers, canoeists, walkers and volunteer groups were all suggested as a good source for reporting river pollution incidents. The task & finish partners, therefore, recommend that Dwr Cymru and Natural Resources Wales work with the other partners to build up a key stakeholder contact list with a view to using it to distributing regular updates and other information relating to the self-reporting of river pollution incidents. The group also felt that Dwr Cymru should review the potential role of using new technology (for example, smart phones and apps) to make self-reporting quick and easy.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 67 to 73.

- **Key Finding 74** The Taff is fairly clear of farm pollution as it has very little in the way of farming taking place on its banks. The Ely does experience some farm pollution; however, this isn't the only source of pollution on the river.
- **Key Finding 75** The main sources of pollution from farming are silting, slurry run off, leaching / run off of silage liquid and other forms of diffuse pollution produced as a result of chemicals used on farmland.

- **Key Finding 76** In the last year (2015/16) there have been 24 reports of farm pollution on the Clun.
- Key Finding 77 It is very important that farmers use silage clamps when producing silage – when they aren't used then it is very easy for the liquid produced as a part of the process to seep out and work its way into the river course. When this type of pollution is identified it is important to undertake farm visits to identify the pollution source and then address the problem. Further to this follow up visits should take place to the same farms to ensure that they don't fall back into the same bad habits.
- Key Finding 78 A river survey and clean could be used as a vehicle for identifying and logging farm pollution. This information could then be used to target farmers who are suspected of creating the pollution. Such a survey and clean needs to cover an entire river catchment.
- **Key Finding 79** Selling the economic benefits of better pollution management to farmers is very important.
- **Key Finding 80** The report produced by the group should reference farming pollution incidents and then refer to best practice case studies to illustrate the benefits of farming compliance.
- Key Finding 81 Natural Resources Wales is told which farms that they
 have to visit they are only able to visit 5% of Welsh farms every year.
 Improved coverage could reduce pollution, for example, reduce silt run off
 and ensure that buffer strips are put in place for silage production.
- Key Finding 82 Estimated that it would cost £14,000 to provide buffer strips for 500m of river bank. It was suggested that if volunteers received training on how to build buffer strips then a considerable saving could be achieved. Potentially grant funding could be made available for such training.

Recommendation 12

The River Ely Survey and Clean should be used as a tool to identify and record if there are any farm pollution problems on the river system. If any farm pollution issues are identified, then the task & finish partner organisations should review what can be done to address the problem(s). Any significant farming pollution problems should be reported to Natural Resources Wales who are the best placed organisation for dealing with such problems.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 74 to 82.

KEY FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES

A meeting was held on the 17th October 2016 to consider topics relating to the work package theme of Educational Opportunities. Evidence was provided by witnesses from Dwr Cymru, Natural Resources Wales, Keep Wales Tidy and Cardiff Council. During the meeting the following topics were addressed:

- Educational Messages;
- Message Communication.

During these items the group identified a number of key findings and recommendations which can be seen below.

- Key Finding 83 During the meeting the group identified 14 campaigns and educational promotions which they felt could provide additional benefits / support to rivers and watercourses in the South East Wales river basin (and indeed right across Wales). These were:
 - The Yellow Fish Campaign;
 - The Hydro Power & Schools Campaign;
 - The Salmon Homecoming Project;
 - The Eel Programme;
 - The Natural Resources Wales 'Healthy Rivers Campaign';
 - The Capital Investment Campaign;
 - The 'Stop the Block' Campaign;
 - The 'Clean Water Campaign';
 - The Keep Wales Tidy 'Great Taff Tidy within Cardiff';
 - The Ely top to toe survey and river clean;
 - The Natural Resources Wales 'Slurry Pollution Campaign';
 - The 'See it Report it Stop it' campaign;
 - The 'Natural Resources Wales' programme.

It was felt that working as a group and sharing resources would be a far more effective method of communicating the key messages of these important projects.

- Key Finding 84 The group acknowledge the benefits of promoting the Yellow Fish Campaign and recognised how it could be used to prevent pollution entering the rivers and watercourses of the South East Wales River Basin. The campaign was straightforward and simple to follow and it was particularly felt that targeting young people and developers of new housing developments would deliver significant benefits.
- **Key Finding 85** The group believes that improving the quality or rivers and watercourses is actually about delivering against a much wider range of benefits which include sustainable management; health & wellbeing; economic development and biodiversity.
- Key Finding 86 Promoting important messages about water quality has in recent years helped to deliver excellent results in Wales, for example, the Swansea Loose Connections project improved the standard of water quality in Swansea Bay from 'poor' to 'good' – an increase of two categories.
- Key Finding 87 While businesses could be targeted through one coordinated approach it would seem sensible to identify methods of specifically targeting businesses with messages about the importance of maintaining clean and healthy rivers and waterways; this is particularly important for businesses that have the potential to pollute.

Recommendation 13

During the task & finish exercise 14 awareness raising campaigns and educational promotions were identified which the group felt could provide additional benefits / support to rivers and watercourses in the South East Wales River Basin. These were:

- The Yellow Fish Campaign;
- The Hydro Power & Schools Campaign;
- The Salmon Homecoming Project;
- The Eel Programme;
- The Natural Resources Wales 'Healthy Rivers Campaign';
- The Capital Investment Campaign;
- The 'Let's Stop the Block' Campaign;
- The 'Clean Water Campaign';
- The Keep Wales Tidy 'Great Taff Tidy within Cardiff';
- The Ely top to toe survey and river clean;
- The Natural Resources Wales 'Slurry Pollution Campaign';
- The 'See it Report it Stop it' campaign;
- The 'Natural Resources Wales' programme.

All of the task & finish partner organisations and the five local authorities from within the South East Wales River Basin should work together to amplify the key messages of these and other new campaigns across the whole area using a combined and well-structured communications approach, for example, if Dwr Cymru is looking to spread the message of the 'Let's Stop the Block' campaign then they should not do it in isolation. Instead they should pass the message onto all of the other partner groups for communication across a wide range of distribution channels, for example, social media, internal briefings & messages, websites, press releases, etc..

This recommendation is supported by key findings 83 to 87.

• **Key Finding 88** - Members of the group felt that elements of the Yellow Fish Campaign and parts of the Eco Schools message should be added to the Welsh Baccalaureate curriculum. This they believe would raise the important issue of maintaining healthy rivers and watercourses, particularly to the younger generation. • **Key Finding 89** - The group felt that educational campaigns were most effective when they included good interaction elements to help stimulate interest in the message being put forward. This is particularly true when promoting the message to younger people.

Recommendation 14

The task group felt that elements of the Yellow Fish Campaign and messages from the Echo Schools educational programme should be added to the Welsh Baccalaureate curriculum. This they believe would help raise the profile of the importance of maintaining healthy rivers within the younger generation. The task group, therefore, recommends that the partner organisations involved with the task & finish exercise contact the WJEC with a suggested educational proposal based upon healthy rivers and discuss the possibility of having this included as an element within the Welsh Baccalaureate curriculum.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 88 & 89.

- Key Finding 90 It was stressed that there appeared to be lots of campaigns worthy of promotion and that they somehow need to be focused on one central website, i.e. a single point of contact to store or signpost to the relevant information sources.
- **Key Finding 91** All partner groups involved with the exercise agreed that a well co-ordinated partnership approach was the best way to effectively communicate the key messages of the various campaigns and educational promotions. In addition to this they felt that it was important to have:
 - A single point of contact to store or signpost visitors to the relevant information or messages provided by each of the partner organisations, for example, a single website or blog page;

- That one partner should probably have responsibility for hosting the site, however, all partners should have the ability to upload or post any new information onto the site;
- That an information sharing protocol should be established between the partners - any new organisations joining the partnership should also be bound to this information sharing protocol;
- That all legalities of establishing such a partnership website should be explored and understood at the outset;
- That there are opportunities to learn from similar exercises that have been delivered in Wales, for example, Cardiff Council's 'Love Where You Live';
- For practical purposes such a system would need to be cost effective, simple and quick to use.
- **Key Finding 92** 'Love Where You Live' is a city-wide campaign to clean up the streets and neighbourhoods of Cardiff. The campaign has five key elements, these are:
 - Harnessing people power;
 - Neighbourhood cleaning;
 - Zero tolerance approach to littering;
 - Raising recycling awareness;
 - Student education.

The group was informed that the organisational structure and promotional approach adopted by 'Love Where You Live' was something that could be applied to coordinating water quality messages in future.

Key Finding 93 - Suggested that a simple strategy (supported by a terms of reference) would need to be established for information sharing and storing. This could involve a single site that all supporting partners could independently access to upload information onto for all partners to view. For practical purposes such a system would need to be cost effective, simple and quick to use. A blog type site was suggested.

• Key Finding 94 - The idea of having one site to communicate and store all South East Wales River Basin related messages was discussed and generally well received – although there was a question around how such a site could be funded. It was felt that for ease of use a combined moderators approach could be applied to any site which was developed – this would allow representatives from each of the groups to update any messages that they might have onto the site and, therefore, allow the messages to be circulated around the rest of the group. Upon viewing any new messages each of the group representatives could circulate details to their email contacts, share on social media and distribute via their internal communications system. A site supported by a range of partner stakeholders would need to have a proper protocol in place and the legalities would need to be explored.

Recommendation 15

During the task & finish exercise it became clear that a number of different groups and organisations were promoting a diverse range of campaigns and educational initiatives aimed at improving the health of our rivers and watercourses. While all of this work was viewed as positive there was no single point to gather, distribute and effectively amplify the messages across the whole South East Wales River Basin. As a result, the task & finish exercise recommends that a central website is created to act as a single point of contact for people and groups interested in improving the health of our rivers and watercourses. To ensure that the site website works effectively key features of the site should include:

- The site should act as a single point of contact to store or signpost visitors to the relevant information or messages provided by each of the partner organisations;
- When a partner organisation posts a new message or piece of information it should trigger an automatic notification to the partner organisations informing them of the new posting. This would then allow the partner

organisations to circulate the message via their communications function;

- That one partner should probably have responsibility for hosting the site, however, all partners should have the ability to upload or post any new information onto the site;
- That an information sharing protocol should be established between the partners any new organisations joining the partnership should also be bound to this information sharing protocol;
- That all legalities of establishing such a partnership website should be explored and understood at the outset;
- For practical purposes such a system would need to be cost effective, simple and easy to use.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 90 to 94.

- **Key Finding 95** The group agreed that establishing a contact list of angling groups was a good idea and that it could be a highly effective way of delivering important messages to this key group of stakeholders. South East Wales Rivers Trust and Glamorgan Anglers have offered to obtain and provide these contact details to support the communications campaign.
- Key Finding 96 Several cost effective communications tools were identified which the group felt could be used to promote key messages and other promotional material relating to the water quality in rivers and other watercourses, these were:
 - Social Media in particular linking the coverage of all of the partner organisations would significantly amplify the message being circulated across the South East Wales River Basin area. For example, if Natural Resources Wales issued a message on its own they would currently reach 10,600 Twitter followers and 3,542 Facebook users.
 Alternatively if they worked with Cardiff Council and Dwr Cymu they would automatically reach 88,754 Twitter followers and 18,520 Facebook users.

- Internal Publications newsletters, intranet pages, internal emails and other internal documents could be used to promote any relevant messages. For example, if Natural Resources Wales circulate a message only to their own staff then they could potentially reach 1,900 people. If on the other hand they worked with Cardiff Council and Dwr Cymru then they could potentially reach 16,741 people.
- Media Stories where appropriate media and communications officers could notify the local press of any potential stories or messages which might create a positive news story.
- Stakeholder Communication the partner organisations could distribute appropriate messages via their established stakeholder communication networks.
- Key Finding 97 The group was told that the promotion of the 'Healthy Hillsides' campaign had been very successful. It was felt that the 'Restore Our Rivers' work would benefit from adopting a promotional approach similar to that used for the 'Healthy Hillsides' campaign.

Recommendation 16

Due to ongoing budget pressures the task group recommends that the communications functions of each of the partner organisations should use cost effective communications tools to promote information and messages around improving the health of our rivers and watercourses. In particular each of the groups could use social media, internal publications / communications, organisation websites, media briefings and other stakeholder communication tools.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 95 to 97.

KEY FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS IMPROVEMENT OPPORTUNITIES

A meeting was held on the 15th November 2016 to consider topics relating to the work package theme of Improvement Opportunities. Evidence was provided by witnesses from Keep Wales Tidy, the City of Cardiff Council, Cardiff Rivers Group and Groundwork Wales. In addition to this, an officer from Cardiff's Scrutiny Research Team delivered a summary of findings from the report titled 'Restore Our Rivers: Best Practice in Managing Ecological Issues' (attached to this report as **Appendix 2**) at the meeting on the 7th December 2016. As a result of these meetings the group identified a number of key findings and recommendations which can be seen below.

- Key Finding 98 Keep Wales Tidy delivers a wide range of projects across Wales; this has included a large number of river improvement projects. This work is primarily delivered by project officers in association with the Tidy Towns Initiative. The organisation regularly delivers work in partnership with other organisations, for example, the project officer in Caerphilly has recently a rivers project in association with Groundwork Wales, National Rivers Wales and Caerphilly County Borough Council. Local authorities are able to provide valuable support to such projects by organising the collection and disposal of rubbish / recycling from the sites.
- Key Finding 99 KWT has recently delivered six significant volunteer projects in the Caerphilly County Borough Council area. The projects relied heavily on volunteer support and removed large volumes of rubbish from each of the sites, for example, projects in Bedwas and Cwm Calon used 49 volunteers and removed 3.5 tonnes of rubbish in five and a half hours of work.
- **Key Finding 100** The project sites for the Caerphilly based Keep Wales Tidy projects were identified by members of the public, community

groups, local authority contacts and from walkovers undertaken by Natural Resources Wales and Groundwork Wales. Such organisations have a good understanding and knowledge of local rivers. These organisations along with groups like Cardiff Rivers Group and Glamorgan Anglers also have a good knowledge of the rivers within the Cardiff area.

- Key Finding 101 KWT has been very successful in pulling in volunteers to support a wide range of projects. They have achieved this by building relationships with community groups; by distributing targeted information to community groups; through awareness raising exercises and by using social media (for example, Facebook adverts). KWT view local communities as an essential element of delivering their project work and understand the benefits of achieving local ownership of local areas (for example, local people adopting sections of local rivers). They have also developed productive links with organisations like the Probation Service, Mc Donald's and United Welsh Housing.
- Key Finding 102 All parties involved with the meeting agreed that there is a significant amount of work to deliver across the whole South East Wales River Basin to improve the quality of river systems. Much of the work done in upstream areas would impact on the sections of the rivers flowing through Cardiff.
- Key Finding 103 Dwr Cymru agreed to circulate a contact list of key Dwr Cymru staff working in the South East Wales River Basin; this it was hoped would improve communication and access to key sites.
- Key Finding 104 Cardiff's Green Infrastructure Group was formed in 2014 and is made up of Council Officers from different service areas including Parks, Highways (PRoW), Planning (TPO and Ecology) and Drainage. It aims to:
 - Better integrate different areas of the council across green infrastructure;

- Co-ordinate responses to planning across Green Infrastructure;
- Produce GI Action Plan and SPG (the Action plan is used to inform funding bids);
- Meet the objectives and legislation of Cardiff Council, Natural Resources Wales and Welsh Government.
- **Key Finding 105** Until recently Cardiff Council produced and regularly updated a series of 'River Valley Action Plans'. The work around the delivery of these included:
 - Three separate action plans for each of the three rivers (Ely, Rhymney & Taff) - covering areas such as Biodiversity, Access, Recreation, invasive species;
 - Annual workshops with quarterly meetings for each river valley;
 - Partnership projects with other organisations, community groups, and land owners;
 - Three trails- creation of Ely, Rhymney trails and improvements to the Taff Trail.

The co-ordination of the groups disbanded following the reduction in Cardiff Council's Countryside Team.

- **Key Finding 106** In the near future Cardiff Council has plans carry out a number of environmental initiatives, these include:
 - The mapping, monitoring and maintenance of Japanese knotweed and Himalayan Balsam;
 - Roach breeding at Forest Farm;
 - Mink mapping;
 - Development of the volunteer hub at Forest Farm; The Cardiff Pollinator Project; and,
 - The Bumble Bee Training Day in 2017.

To deliver these and other projects Cardiff Council will continue to develop partnerships and work with more than 23 local organisations.

- Key Finding 107 The Cardiff Rivers Group is a volunteer group which was established in 2009. It currently has 350 volunteers who support the work of the group. They organise large scale clean-ups; work with Cardiff Parks; undertake habitat management; deliver stream clearing/cutting back; remove blockages; address neglected areas; provide informal coaching – this helps get people into work and helps to promote mental well-being.
- **Key Finding 108** The Cardiff Rivers Group has achieved a number of successes since 2009, these include:
 - They were the 2015 winners of Keep Wales Tidy Award;
 - They have successfully launched the "Masked Avenger" scheme which allows the public to nominate a river or stream problem which needs removal;
 - They have delivered work stream successes in Llanishen and on the Bute Park Feeder;
 - St Mellons, Hamadryad Park this scheme has included a total of 136 events and 5,600 volunteer hours.
- Key Finding 109 The Cardiff Rivers Group works in partnership with several groups, for example, Cardiff Council Parks and the Association of British Ports. The group is self-funding and relies on Council grants, Welsh Government grants, scrap sales and regular donations from volunteers. The funding provides opportunities to increase the presence of the group and allows them to run additional events, for example, mid week clearances, opportunities outside of Cardiff and increased walking/surveying opportunities (particularly streams). In future the group plans to do more including increased levels of testing/surveying/walking.

- Key Finding 110 The Cardiff Rivers Group has identified sewer misconnections as a significant issue in the Cardiff area (particularly around dual drainage systems). They have asked if Dwr Cymru could produce a map of the Cardiff's drainage and sewer system for the group so that they are able to use it as a point of reference.
- Key Finding 111 The Cardiff Rivers Group is always looking for additional pieces of work to tackle. They believe that the production of a series of 'Action Plans' based around the river system would help them identify opportunities for new work and plan new projects.
- **Key Finding 112** The Cardiff Rivers Group wants to extend the amount of partnership work that it undertakes. This could include greater involvement in Community Groups; support with awareness raising opportunities; financial support/ support in kind and linking up with partners for lectures, meetings, etc..
- Key Finding 113 Groundwork Wales is an environmental regeneration charity established in 1990. The Healthy River Project was set up initially in relation to the River Sirhowy in 2012, however, it now covers all of the South Wales area – this rivers Ebbw, Llwyd, Rhymney and Rhondda.
- **Key Finding 114** Groundwork Wales has delivered a number of significant projects in recent years these include:
 - Tredegar Culvert building a fish pass on the River Sirhowy;
 - Graig Fawr Blockage on the River Ebbw;
 - Mill Road Weir on the River Llwyd;
 - Nant Twyn Harris reducing flood risk; improved spawning opportunities, resting and sources of food - at Ystrad Mynach;
 - The Marine Colliery Weir this was a Dwr Cymru funded project;
 - The Diamond Close River Care project at Caerphilly this was a joint Keep Wales Tidy / Natural Resources Wales / Groundwork Wales and

United Welsh initiative to promote message and encourage local participation;

- Work on Nant Cylla;
- Schools Initiatives, including Nant Bargoed;
- Delivering the 'River Restoration Qualification';
- Groundwork Wales provides a mentoring element as a part of its work, this was highlighted when they played a film to the task group titled 'Jordan'.

Recommendation 17

Local authorities and the partner organisations associated with the task & finish exercise have in recent years worked well with volunteer groups to deliver a large number of projects aimed at improving local rivers and enhancing the wider natural environment. The task group endorses this work and recommends that even more is done to increase the good work that they deliver. Examples of additional support include:

- The development of a list of river improvement and environmental habitat improvement projects which as volunteer and supporting resources become available could be allocated to a suitable group, i.e. creating a situation where volunteer groups can be signposted to appropriate projects. Potential projects could be identified from the results of the River Ely survey which is scheduled for January / February 2017. The identified projects could be collated into a river improvement based action plan.
- Publicising the good work of the volunteer groups through the communications functions of the partner organisations of the task & finish exercise. This would help raise the profile of the excellent work that they deliver and potentially encourage other people to follow their example and become volunteers.
- Helping the volunteer groups form links with businesses and other organisations able to provide additional support for the work that they undertake. This it is felt would help improve partnership working in this

area.

- Helping to signpost the volunteer groups towards potential funding sources to help fund the important work that they undertake.
- Creating a group or body to provide the facilitation role for river based improvement work in the South East Wales River Basin. They could develop project ideas and oversee the resources available before arranging for the work to be delegated to the volunteer and other groups.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 98 to 114.

- Key Finding 115 The National Misconnections Strategy Group is a
 partnership of organisations including The Environment Agency, DEFRA
 and regional water bodies (including Dŵr Cymru). The group collectively
 operates through a public facing scheme known as 'Natural Resources
 Wales'. 'Natural Resources Wales' aims to help educate property owners
 and builders about the negative effects of misconnections and how to
 avoid them.
- **Key Finding 116** The National Misconnections Strategy Group defines two main types of misconnections that cause pollution, these are:
 - Where wastewater and sewage is wrongly connected to clean surface water drains;
 - Where clean rainwater is connected to foul drains.
- Key Finding 117 Best practice identified partnership working as the best approach for tackling misconnections as collective resources and expertise can be allocated towards dealing with misconnection issues in specific areas.
- Key Finding 118 Agencies like the Environment Agency, Natural Resources Wales and the Scottish Environmental Protection Agency have undertaken surveys to identify misconnections leading into rivers and watercourses. Once these surveys have been completed specialist survey

contractors have been used to trace upstream from outfalls to identify sources of pollution – this then results in further surveying of individual properties with owner/occupier permission. Where misconnections are identified a formal written request will be made to ensure that the issue is resolved. Only the local authority's Environmental Health Officers or the Environment Agency have the power to enforce action.

- **Key Finding 119** The main problems associated with misconnections surveys are:
 - That they are manually intensive and expensive; many properties require repeat visits because of uncooperative residents meaning a significant number cannot be surveyed.
 - It is often difficult to gain cooperation from residents, i.e. the realisation of residents that they might need to pay to rectify the problem.
 - A lack of staff to carry out the work; many local authorities have had to cut back on the number of EHOs.
 - New misconnections reappear; if residents and/or contractors remain unaware of consequences or of the cost of rectifying then the problem can resurface.
- Key Finding 120 A misconnections amnesty was considered a good way to improve resident cooperation and, therefore, tackle misconnection issues. Information could be distributed to a specific area telling them how to identify misconnections and offering free modifications if they are reported. It was suggested that the cost of repairs as a result of the misconnections amnesty could be offset by the costs of a misconnections survey.
- Key Finding 121 A number of best practice examples for tacking misconnections were identified in the Scrutiny Research report titled 'Restore Our Rivers: Best Practice in Managing Ecological Issues', these were:
 - The Midlands Urban Rivers Community Initiative;

- The Telford Clean Stream Team;
- The North East Living Waterways Partnership;
- Hastings Clean Seas Please;
- Thames Fixing Broken Rivers;
- Swansea Misconnections Project;
- Wessex Stream Clean team;
- Yorkshire Bathing Water Partnership.
- **Key Finding 122** Littering can have an extremely negative effect on the ecology of a river. When dumped, litter and rubbish can endanger wildlife, block rivers and cause flooding, and make parts of the river inaccessible.
- Key Finding 123 Pollution has harmful effects for rivers and watercourses. The Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) published a consultation in 2012 that identified four main sources of pollution, these were:
 - Point Source Pollution Permitted discharges from factories and wastewater treatment;
 - Pollution Incidents One-off incidents such as a tanker accident that have acute short term impacts;
 - Unknown Sources Where the cause cannot be identified;
 - Diffuse Pollution Unplanned and unlicensed pollution from farming, mine water, homes and roads. The may be agricultural or nonagricultural.
- **Key Finding 124** When tackling urban water pollution the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs advocates taking the following approach:
 - Adopting a whole of catchment-based approach;
 - Starting upstream and working down the river system;
 - Empowering Communities, i.e. working with community groups and coordinating other volunteer resources to undertake river cleans;
 - Following the principles of the 'Love Your River' campaign;

- Working alongside local flood risk management;
- Engaging with local authorities to help improve urban rivers;
- Greater clarity on local data and then identifying priorities;
- Spatial Planning, i.e. working with the national planning policy framework.
- Key Finding 125 The 'Keep Britain Tidy Rivercare' scheme was identified as an example of best practice examples for dealing with litter and river pollution. This contained a large number of projects across the United Kingdome including 'Rivercare Lincoln' and 'Rivercare Peterborough'.
- **Key Finding 126** Planting trees on river banks was considered as a good way to help with the biodiversity and ecology of rivers. Benefits of this approach included:
 - Shading and cooling the air and the stream water;
 - Intercepting pollution pathways by absorbing pollutants such as phosphates as nutrients;
 - Slowing storm water runoff and reducing the threat of flooding;
 - Breaking the force of wind to help keep topsoil in place;
 - Roots binding the soil contributing to bank stabilization;
 - Creating oxygen and intercepting airborne particles, reducing heat and absorbing pollutants like carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide and nitrogen dioxide;
 - Native trees will provide habitat for animals.
- Key Finding 127 An alien or invasive species was defined in the Scrutiny Research report titled 'Restore Our Rivers: Best Practice in Managing Ecological Issues as 'a species, subspecies, or lower taxon introduced outside its normal past or present distribution; includes any part, gametes, seeds, eggs, or propagules of such species that might survive and subsequently reproduce'.

- **Key Finding 128** The main invasive species found in Cardiff are Japanese Knotweed, Himalayan Balsam, Killer Shrimp and Zebra Mussel.
- **Key Finding 129** Best practice in terms of managing Himalayan Balsam included:
 - Implementing control measures to prevent flowering this is best achieved before June;
 - Chemical control techniques can be used near water and are most effective when the plant is small in the spring;
 - Cutting, strimming or pulling should take place at impacted sites on a regular basis – the site should be revisited for at least three years;
 - Pulling can be effective for plants with shallow roots which can be disposed by burning or composting if seeds aren't present;
 - Allowing cattle and sheep to graze at the sites from April until the end of the growing season.
- **Key Finding 130** The Clun Himalayan Balsam Project was a strategy aimed at removing Himalayan Balsam and took the following approach:
 - It nominated a coordinating body and then gave it the responsibility to take the strategy forward;
 - It was designed to raise awareness and understanding of the Himalayan Balsam in the catchment;
 - It aimed to improve collection, management and sharing of information;
 - It raised awareness to ensure that landowners cross-compliance responsibilities were understood;
 - It developed a shared responsibility among stakeholders and commitment by land managers;
 - It provided support for those landowners working to manage Himalayan Balsam;
 - It introduced a three-stage approach: prevention, early detection and then control as the final option;

- It created a systematic approach to control by phased targeting sites affected by Himalayan Balsam;
- It advocated on-going monitoring.
- **Key Finding 131** Best practice in terms of managing Japanese Knotweed included:
 - The flowers bloom in late summer and the root system can extend for seven metres;
 - The plant should be subjected to a single clean cut near the base of the stem;
 - A waste license is required to remove Japanese knotweed from its site;
 - It is important to avoid flailing or other cutting methods that produce fragments because stems can regenerate from nodal fragments;
 - The use of an approved chemical is the most effective treatment near water;
 - Chemical treatment is most effective in August and September and especially when applied to mature uncut growth;
 - Chemicals can be injected into the stem to avoid damaging surrounding areas.
- **Key Finding 132** Pembrokeshire County Council's Japanese Knotweed experience and guidelines emphasises the importance of:
 - Raising the awareness of the problem;
 - Ensuring that Japanese Knotweed growing on the highway does not affect sight-lines and signage;
 - To treat all patches within Pembrokeshire County Council's limits within available resources;
 - The Council works with landowners and provides advice on appropriate treatments;
 - The Council aims to ensure that other organisations that are working within Pembrokeshire County Council controlled land will adopt good working practices to control and prevent the spread of Japanese Knotweed;

- Herbicides will be the most frequent and effective method of control, but other methods will be researched and implemented and to minimise the use of chemicals.
- **Key Finding 133** The best practice approach for dealing with Killer Shrimp was described as:
 - Ensuring the containment of known populations;
 - Promoting bio-security measures (such as jet washing);
 - Surveillance and monitoring;
 - Managing the risks at high value nature conservation sites;
 - Commissioning and supporting key research to improve understanding of the shrimp and how best to manage its impact.
- **Key Finding 134** The best practice approach for dealing with Zebra Mussel was described as:
 - Raising awareness of the issue among the public and target groups in order to encourage them to take action on the spread of the zebra mussel;
 - To amend and co-ordinate appropriate policy and legislation;
 - To identify sectors involved controlling zebra mussels and define their roles to ensure their activities are not responsible for the further spread;
 - To continue research on the spread, impacts and the level of awareness of the zebra mussel and ensure research is made widely available;
 - To develop contingency protocols for immediate response if new lake invasions are reported;
 - To develop a mechanism to co-ordinate action, policy and information sharing on an all island basis.

Recommendation 18

The Cardiff Scrutiny Research Team produced a report titled 'Restore Our

Rivers: Best Practice in Managing Ecological Issues'. This focused on best practice in the management of misconnections; littering & pollution; and enhancing biodiversity & managing alien species. Having reviewed the findings of the report the task group recommends that the following best practice is followed in the South East Wales River Basin and built into the work of the Restore Our Rivers task & finish exercise:

- Misconnections That the guidance and resources from the National Misconnections Strategy Group and their public face 'Natural Resources Wales' are followed to help educate property owners and builders about the negative effects of misconnections and how to avoid them.
- Misconnections That the partner organisations associated with the task group work together to raise funds to undertake a survey of the river from its source to identify misconnections and seek rectifications; and consider a 'misconnections amnesty' to achieve widespread corrections.
- Litter & Pollution To make use of community groups and coordinate different volunteer resources to undertake river cleans, starting upstream for most efficient practice.
- Enhancing Biodiversity & Managing Alien Species Investigate the merits and feasibility of planting trees as a cost effective means of stabilising river banks and increasing biodiversity.
- Enhancing Biodiversity & Managing Alien Species Note the guidance given by DEFRA and the Non Native Species Secretariat on preventing the spread of invasive species and use these to educate and inform property owners; and to produce risk assessments and develop codes of conduct to reduce risks.
- Enhancing Biodiversity & Managing Alien Species Engage with landowners or make use of volunteer groups or to carefully treat Himalayan Balsam and Japanese Knotweed by the methods outlined in the Scrutiny Research Best Practice Report.

This recommendation is supported by key findings 115 to 134.

KEY FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

REGIONAL OPPORTUNITIES

A meeting was held on the 7th December 2016 to consider topics relating to the work package theme of Regional Opportunities. Evidence was gathered through a group discussion on the benefits of regional working for the management of rivers. The discussion covered a number of areas including the benefits of partnership working; how such an approach could be structured; the key partners that would need to be involved; how such a group could be supported; the scope of such a group; the aims and objectives of such a group and the frequency of meetings for such a group. As a result of this discussion the group identified a number of key findings and recommendations which can be seen below.

 Key Finding 135 - The Welsh Government is looking to introduce a Regional Development Plan. This could mean the introduction of common Supplementary Planning Guidance documents to support development across the whole area. The task group felt that this would be a good opportunity to implement a consistent and sustainable approach to development across the region which would support rivers and other watercourses. For example, they felt that significant improvements to rivers could be achieved if Supplementary Planning Guidance stipulated the implementation sustainable drainage solutions and that the implementation of these was properly monitored.

Recommendation 19

A new Regional Development Plan should include consistent supplementary planning guidance to support development across the whole area. The task group believes that this represents a good opportunity to implement a consistent and sustainable approach to development across the region which
would support rivers and other watercourses. For example, they felt that significant improvements to rivers could be achieved if supplementary planning guidance stipulated the implementation of sustainable drainage solutions and that the implementation of these was properly monitored.

This recommendation is supported by key finding 135.

- Key Finding 136 River systems do not neatly fit into local authority areas, for example, the three rivers which flow into Cardiff (the Ely, the Rhymney and the Taff) are a part of a wider South East Wales River Basin which includes five local authority areas (Cardiff, the Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff, Caerphilly & Merthyr Tydfil). It quite often follows that river issues at the top or bottom of a river system have an impact on other sections of the river system, therefore a complete river catchment approach is necessary. This means that a whole of catchment or regional approach is necessary to improve the overall quality of the rivers watercourses in the South East Wales River Basin. This means that all of the local authorities and significant stakeholders need to work together in partnership.
- Key Finding 137 During the discussion on regional working all parties involved with the task & finish exercise supported the idea of regional working. They felt that the local authorities and significant stakeholder groups should form some type of working group to oversee and help facilitate river and watercourse improvements across the whole South East Wales River Basin. Such group should meet on an ongoing basis (quarterly meetings were suggested) and could deliver a series of benefits including:
 - Coordinate shared resources, skills and knowledge from across the whole South East Wales River Basin;
 - Hold a documented summary on the condition of the rivers and watercourses across the whole South East Wales River Basin;

- Monitor and document changes across the rivers and watercourses of the South East Wales River Basin;
- Coordinate the development of an action plan which would set out work priorities required to drive improvement across the South East Wales River Basin;
- Provide a facilitation and allocation role for South East Wales River Basin action plan;
- Identify funding sources that can be accessed by group members (or associated stakeholders) to undertake work.
- Key Finding 138 The development of an action plan which contains a list of key river improvement projects was seen as vital. A South East Wales River Basin group should be responsible for holding such a list and allocating work to a wide range of groups best placed to carry out the projects. Holding a list of projects would also make the identification of funding easier over the longer term as projects could be matched to funding instead of having to create a new project to match funding opportunities.
- **Key Finding 139** The parties involved with the task & finish exercise suggested that the South East Wales River Basin working group should include representation from the following groups / organisations:
 - The five local authorities (Cardiff, the Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff, Caerphilly & Merthyr Tydfil);
 - Natural Resources Wales;
 - Dwr Cymru;
 - Keep Wales Tidy;
 - South East Wales Rivers Trust;
 - Groundwork Wales;
 - Key volunteer groups, for example, Cardiff Rivers Group;
 - Key river user groups, for example, Glamorgan Anglers;
 - The Wildlife Trust;
 - Key community groups.

• Key Finding 140 – The running of a South East Wales River Basin working group would need support from all of the partners. The task & finish exercise partners felt local authorities would be best placed to provide the accommodation for meetings and that the group would need to discuss funding arrangements for the secretariat and coordination roles. Costs would need to be kept at a minimum and running of the working group would have to rely on contributions in kind, for example, accommodation and staff time.

Recommendation 20

A South East Wales River Basin working group should be created to oversee the delivery of river and watercourse improvements. The task & finish exercise partners recommend that the group should:

- Coordinate shared resources, skills and knowledge from across the whole South East Wales River Basin;
- Hold a documented summary on the condition of the rivers and watercourses across the whole South East Wales River Basin;
- Monitor and document changes across the rivers and watercourses of the South East Wales River Basin;
- Coordinate the development of an action plan which would set out work priorities required to drive improvement across the South East Wales River Basin;
- Provide a facilitation and allocation role for South East Wales River Basin action plan;
- Identify funding sources that can be accessed by group members (or associated stakeholders) to undertake work;
- Include representation from the five local authorities (Cardiff, the Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff, Caerphilly & Merthyr Tydfil) and significant stakeholders (Natural Resources Wales; Dwr Cymru / Welsh Water; Keep Wales Tidy; South East Wales Rivers Trust; Groundwork Wales; key volunteer groups; key river user groups; The Wildlife Trust &

key community groups).

• Meet on a quarterly basis, keep operational costs to a minimum and rely on contributions in kind (for example, accommodation and staff costs).

This recommendation is supported by key findings 136 to 140.

WITNESSES TO THE INQUIRY

During the inquiry the task group was grateful to the following witnesses who provided verbal evidence or written contributions:

- Emma Harris, Pollution Strategy Manager, Dwr Cymru;
- Dai Walters, Team Leader (Ely & Vale), Natural Resources Wales;
- Hywel Abbott, Healthy Rivers Project Officer, Groundwork Wales;
- Charlotte Sturgess-Osborne, Placement Project Officer, Groundwork Wales;
- Edward Bennett, Network Manager Cardiff, Dwr Cymru;
- Luke Bentley, Sewer Network Abuse Protection Technician, Dwr Cymru;
- Hamish Osborn, Team Leader Natural Resource Management Swansea, Natural Resources Wales;
- Richard Davies, Sewerage Manager, Dwr Cymru;
- Pam Bacon, South East Wales Regional Manager Central West, Keep Wales Tidy;
- Dave King, Cardiff Rivers Group;
- Gail Davies, Special Projects (Water), Dwr Cymru;
- Ian Titherington, Lead Officer Drainage, City of Cardiff Council;
- Martyn Gough, Communications Manager, Natural Resources Wales;
- Claire Roberts, Education Manager, Dwr Cymru;
- Jess Magness, Marketing & Communications Manager, Keep Wales Tidy;
- Ian Lloyd Davies, Media Advisor, City of Cardiff Council;
- Andrew King, Project Officer, Keep Wales Tidy;
- Nicola Hutchinson, Parks Conservation Officer, City of Cardiff Council;
- Dr Stephen Marsh-Smith, Executive Director, Afonydd Cymru;
- Luke Catterson, Scrutiny Research Officer, City of Cardiff Council.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The Scrutiny Committee is empowered to enquire, consider, review and recommend but not to make policy decisions. As the recommendations in this report are to consider and review matters there are no direct legal implications. However, legal implications may arise if and when the matters under review are implemented with or without modification. Any report with recommendations for decision that goes to Cabinet / Council will set out any legal implications arising from those recommendations. All decisions taken by or on behalf of the Council must (a) be within the legal power of the Council; (b) comply with any procedural requirement imposed by law; (c) be within the powers of the body or person exercising powers on behalf of the Council; (d) be undertaken in accordance with the procedural requirements imposed by the Council e.g. standing orders and financial regulations; (e) be fully and properly informed; (f) be properly motivated; (g) be taken having regard to the Council's fiduciary duty to its taxpayers; and (h) be reasonable and proper in all the circumstances.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The Scrutiny Committee is empowered to enquire, consider, review and recommend but not to make policy decisions. As the recommendations in this report are to consider and review matters there are no direct financial implications at this stage in relation to any of the work programme. However, financial implications may arise if and when the matters under review are implemented with or without any modifications. Any report with recommendations for decision that goes to Cabinet/Council will set out any financial implications arising from those recommendations.

> Scrutiny Services, City and County of Cardiff Council Room 263, County Hall, Atlantic Wharf, Cardiff CF10 4UW Tel: 029 2087 3107 Email: <u>scrutinyviewpoints@cardiff.gov.uk</u> <u>www.cardiff.gov.uk/scrutiny</u> © 2017 City of Cardiff Council